As Reported by the House Judiciary Committee

126th General Assembly Regular Session 2005-2006

Sub. H. B. No. 25

17

Representatives Wagner, J. Stewart, Hartnett, Carano, Otterman, Latta, Widener, Aslanides, S. Patton, Buehrer, Wolpert, Combs, Kearns, Webster, McGregor, Reidelbach, Law, Core, Schaffer, Faber, Chandler, Cassell, Hagan, Wagoner, Martin, Gilb

A BILL

To amend section 2743.02 of the Revised Code to allow
a state officer or employee who in a civil action
is alleged to lack immunity from personal
liability to participate in proceedings to
determine whether the officer or employee is
entitled to personal immunity.

6

BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF OHIO:

Section 1. That section 2743.02 of the Revised Code be	7
amended to read as follows:	8
Sec. 2743.02. (A)(1) The state hereby waives its immunity	9
from liability, except as provided for the office of the state	10
fire marshal in division $(G)(1)$ of section 9.60 and division (B)	11
of section 3737.221 of the Revised Code and subject to division	12
(H) of this section, and consents to be sued, and have its	13
liability determined, in the court of claims created in this	14
chapter in accordance with the same rules of law applicable to	15
suits between private parties, except that the determination of	16

liability is subject to the limitations set forth in this chapter

and, in the case of state universities or colleges, in section 18
3345.40 of the Revised Code, and except as provided in division 19
(A)(2) or (3) of this section. To the extent that the state has 20
previously consented to be sued, this chapter has no 21
applicability. 22

Except in the case of a civil action filed by the state, filing a civil action in the court of claims results in a complete waiver of any cause of action, based on the same act or omission, which the filing party has against any officer or employee, as defined in section 109.36 of the Revised Code. The waiver shall be void if the court determines that the act or omission was manifestly outside the scope of the officer's or employee's office or employment or that the officer or employee acted with malicious purpose, in bad faith, or in a wanton or reckless manner.

- (2) If a claimant proves in the court of claims that an officer or employee, as defined in section 109.36 of the Revised Code, would have personal liability for the officer's or employee's acts or omissions but for the fact that the officer or employee has personal immunity under section 9.86 of the Revised Code, the state shall be held liable in the court of claims in any action that is timely filed pursuant to section 2743.16 of the Revised Code and that is based upon the acts or omissions.
- (3)(a) Except as provided in division (A)(3)(b) of this section, the state is immune from liability in any civil action or proceeding involving the performance or nonperformance of a public duty, including the performance or nonperformance of a public duty that is owed by the state in relation to any action of an individual who is committed to the custody of the state.
- (b) The state immunity provided in division (A)(3)(a) of this 46 section does not apply to any action of the state under 47 circumstances in which a special relationship can be established 48 between the state and an injured party. A special relationship 49

negligence, malpractice, or other action or inaction of the

80

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

81 indemnified person while acting within the scope of the 82 indemnified person's duties or engaged in activities at the 83 request or direction, or for the benefit, of the hospital. Any 84 hospital electing to indemnify such persons, or to agree to so 85 indemnify, shall reserve such funds as are necessary, in the 86 exercise of sound and prudent actuarial judgment, to cover the 87 potential expense, fees, damage, loss, or other liability. The 88 superintendent of insurance may recommend, or, if such hospital 89 requests the superintendent to do so, the superintendent shall 90 recommend, a specific amount for any period that, in the 91 superintendent's opinion, represents such a judgment. This 92 authority is in addition to any authorization otherwise provided 93 or permitted by law.

- (D) Recoveries against the state shall be reduced by the aggregate of insurance proceeds, disability award, or other collateral recovery received by the claimant. This division does not apply to civil actions in the court of claims against a state university or college under the circumstances described in section 3345.40 of the Revised Code. The collateral benefits provisions of division (B)(2) of that section apply under those circumstances.
- (E) The only defendant in original actions in the court of 101 claims is the state. The state may file a third-party complaint or 102 counterclaim in any civil action, except a civil action for two 103 thousand five hundred dollars or less, that is filed in the court 104 of claims.
- (F) A civil action against an officer or employee, as defined 106 in section 109.36 of the Revised Code, that alleges that the 107 officer's or employee's conduct was manifestly outside the scope 108 of the officer's or employee's employment or official 109 responsibilities, or that the officer or employee acted with 110 malicious purpose, in bad faith, or in a wanton or reckless manner 111 shall first be filed against the state in the court of claims, 112

which has exclusive, original jurisdiction to determine,	113
initially, whether the officer or employee is entitled to personal	114
immunity under section 9.86 of the Revised Code and whether the	115
courts of common pleas have jurisdiction over the civil action.	116
The officer or employee may participate in the immunity	117
determination proceeding before the court of claims to determine	118
whether the officer or employee is entitled to personal immunity	119
under section 9.86 of the Revised Code.	120

The filing of a claim against an officer or employee under

this division tolls the running of the applicable statute of

limitations until the court of claims determines whether the

officer or employee is entitled to personal immunity under section

9.86 of the Revised Code.

- (G) Whenever a claim lies against an officer or employee who 126 is a member of the Ohio national guard, and the officer or 127 employee was, at the time of the act or omission complained of, 128 subject to the "Federal Tort Claims Act," 60 Stat. 842 (1946), 28 129 U.S.C. 2671, et seq., then the Federal Tort Claims Act is the 130 exclusive remedy of the claimant and the state has no liability 131 under this section.
- (H) If an inmate of a state correctional institution has a 133 claim against the state for the loss of or damage to property and 134 the amount claimed does not exceed three hundred dollars, before 135 commencing an action against the state in the court of claims, the 136 inmate shall file a claim for the loss or damage under the rules 137 adopted by the director of rehabilitation and correction pursuant 138 to this division. The inmate shall file the claim within the time 139 allowed for commencement of a civil action under section 2743.16 140 of the Revised Code. If the state admits or compromises the claim, 141 the director shall make payment from a fund designated by the 142 director for that purpose. If the state denies the claim or does 143 not compromise the claim at least sixty days prior to expiration 144

Sub. H. B. No. 25 As Reported by the House Judiciary Committee	Page 6
of the time allowed for commencement of a civil action based upon	145
the loss or damage under section 2743.16 of the Revised Code, the	146
inmate may commence an action in the court of claims under this	147
chapter to recover damages for the loss or damage.	148
The director of rehabilitation and correction shall adopt	149
rules pursuant to Chapter 119. of the Revised Code to implement	150
this division.	151
Section 2. That existing section 2743.02 of the Revised Code	152
is hereby repealed.	153