As Passed by the House

126th General Assembly Regular Session 2005-2006

Sub. S. B. No. 20

Senators Goodman, Amstutz, Coughlin, Clancy, Schuler, Jacobson, Cates, Mumper, Austria, Schuring, Grendell, Wachtmann, Gardner, Carey, Padgett, Jordan, Spada, Hottinger, Zurz, Armbruster, Niehaus, Hagan, Dann, Fedor, Miller

Representatives Latta, D. Evans, Buehrer, Seaver, Seitz, Allen, Aslanides, Barrett, Beatty, Blasdel, Blessing, Book, Brinkman, Bubp, Calvert, Carano, Carmichael, Cassell, Chandler, Collier, Combs, Core, Daniels, DeBose, DeGeeter, DeWine, Distel, Dolan, Domenick, Driehaus, C. Evans, Faber, Fende, Fessler, Flowers, Gibbs, Gilb, Hagan, Hartnett, Harwood, Healy, Hood, Hoops, Hughes, Kearns, Key, Kilbane, Koziura, Law, Martin, McGregor, Mitchell, Oelslager, Otterman, S. Patton, T. Patton, Perry, Peterson, Raga, Raussen, Reidelbach, Reinhard, Sayre, Schaffer, Schlichter, Schneider, Setzer, G. Smith, D. Stewart, J. Stewart, Strahorn, Sykes, Taylor, Trakas, Uecker, Ujvagi, Wagner, Wagoner, Walcher, Webster, White, Widener, Widowfield, Williams, Wolpert, Yates, Yuko, Husted

A BILL

То	amend sections 2901.11 and 2901.12 of the Revised	1
	Code to clarify the application of the state's	2
	criminal jurisdiction statute to offenses	3
	committed in a jurisdiction other than Ohio that	4
	result from a conspiracy, an attempt, or	5
	complicity to commit the offense that occurs in	6
	Ohio; to clarify the application of that statute	7
	in homicide cases; to clarify that Ohio criminal	8
	specifications are applicable to persons who	9
	commit an offense in a jurisdiction other than	10

Sub. S. B. No. 20 As Passed by the House	Page 2
Ohio but are subject to Ohio criminal	11
jurisdiction; and to make other related changes to	12
the state's criminal jurisdiction and venue	13
statutes.	14
BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF OHIO:	
Section 1. That sections 2901.11 and 2901.12 of the Revised	15
Code be amended to read as follows:	16
Sec. 2901.11. (A) A person is subject to criminal prosecution	17
and punishment in this state if any of the following occur:	18
	19
(1) The person commits an offense under the laws of this	20
state, any element of which takes place in this state.	21
(2) While in this state, the person conspires or attempts to	22
commit, or is guilty of complicity in the commission of, an	23
offense in another jurisdiction, which offense is an offense under	24
both the laws of this state and the other jurisdiction, or, while	25
in this state, the person conspires to commit an offense in	26
another jurisdiction, which offense is an offense under both the	27
laws of this state and the other jurisdiction, and a substantial	28
overt act in furtherance of the conspiracy is undertaken in this	29
state by the person or another person involved in the conspiracy,	30
subsequent to the person's entrance into the conspiracy. In any	31
case in which a person attempts to commit, is guilty of complicity	32
in the commission of, or conspires to commit an offense in another	33
jurisdiction as described in this division, the person is subject	34
to criminal prosecution and punishment in this state for the	35
attempt, complicity, or conspiracy, and for any resulting offense	36
that is committed or completed in the other jurisdiction.	37
(3) While out of this state, the person conspires or attempts	38

70

90

91

92

93

94

100

purposes of this section.

(2) The courts of common pleas of Adams, Athens, Belmont, 71 Brown, Clermont, Columbiana, Gallia, Hamilton, Jefferson, 72 Lawrence, Meigs, Monroe, Scioto, and Washington counties have 73 jurisdiction beyond the north or northwest shore of the Ohio river 74 extending to the opposite shore line, between the extended 75 boundary lines of any adjacent counties or adjacent state. Each of 76 those courts of common pleas has concurrent jurisdiction on the 77 Ohio river with any adjacent court of common pleas that borders on 78 that river and with any court of Kentucky or of West Virginia that 79 borders on the Ohio river and that has jurisdiction on the Ohio 80 river under the law of Kentucky or the law of West Virginia, 81 whichever is applicable, or under federal law. 82

- (D) When an offense is committed under the laws of this
 state, and it appears beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense
 or any element of the offense took place either in this state or
 in another jurisdiction or jurisdictions, but it cannot reasonably
 be determined in which it took place, the offense or element is
 conclusively presumed to have taken place in this state for
 purposes of this section.

 83
- (E) When a person is subject to criminal prosecution and punishment in this state for an offense committed or completed outside of this state, the person is subject to all specifications for that offense that would be applicable if the offense had been committed within this state.
- (F) Any act, conduct, or element that is a basis of a person

 being subject under this section to criminal prosecution and

 punishment in this state need not be committed personally by the

 person as long as it is committed by another person who is in

 complicity or conspiracy with the person.
 - (G) This section shall be liberally construed, consistent

Page 6

161

As Passed by the House	
conspiracy, attempt, complicity, or any of its elements occurred.	131
If an offense resulted outside this state from the conspiracy,	132
attempt, or complicity, that resulting offense also may be tried	133
in any jurisdiction in which the conspiracy, attempt, complicity,	134
or any of the elements of the conspiracy, attempt, or complicity	135
occurred.	136
(E) When the offense is conspiracy or attempt cognizable	137
under division (A)(3) of section 2901.11 of the Revised Code, the	138
offender may be tried in any jurisdiction in which the offense	139
that was the object of the conspiracy or attempt, or any element	140
of that offense, was intended to or could have taken place. When	141
the offense is complicity cognizable under division (A)(3) of	142
section 2901.11 of the Revised Code, the offender may be tried in	143
any jurisdiction in which the principal offender may be tried.	144
(F) When an offense is considered to have been committed in	145
this state while the offender was out of this state, and the	146
jurisdiction in this state in which the offense or any material	147
element of the offense was committed is not reasonably	148
ascertainable, the offender may be tried in any jurisdiction in	149
which the offense or element reasonably could have been committed.	150
(G) When it appears beyond a reasonable doubt that an offense	151
or any element of an offense was committed in any of two or more	152
jurisdictions, but it cannot reasonably be determined in which	153
jurisdiction the offense or element was committed, the offender	154
may be tried in any of those jurisdictions.	155
(H) When an offender, as part of a course of criminal	156
conduct, commits offenses in different jurisdictions, the offender	157
may be tried for all of those offenses in any jurisdiction in	158
which one of those offenses or any element of one of those	159
offenses occurred. Without limitation on the evidence that may be	160

used to establish the course of criminal conduct, any of the