As Reported by the House Agriculture and Natural Resources Committee

129th General Assembly Regular Session 2011-2012

H. C. R. No. 9

Representative Thompson

Cosponsors: Representatives Landis, Carey, Blessing, Adams, J., Slaby, Young, Grossman, Combs, Stebelton, Brenner, Huffman

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

To urge the United States Congress, the President of	1
the United States, and the United States	2
Environmental Protection Agency to immediately	3
suspend the Proposed Air Pollution Transport Rule.	4

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE STATE OF OHIO (THE SENATE CONCURRING):

WHEREAS, On August 2, 2010, the United States Environmental	5
Protection Agency (EPA) published its Proposed Air Pollution	б
Transport Rule (Proposed Transport Rule), 75 Fed. Reg. 45,210; and	7
WHEREAS, Under the Proposed Transport Rule, the EPA proposes	8
to limit the interstate transport of power plant emissions from	9
electric generation units within 31 states, including Ohio, plus	10
the District of Columbia; and	11
WHEREAS, The Proposed Transport Rule is part of a suite of	12
regulatory actions undertaken by the EPA targeting coal-fired	13
power plants with the objective of forcing electric utilities to	14
abandon coal as a fuel source by making it too expensive for	15
utilities to burn coal; and	16
WHEREAS, The Electric Power Research Institute projects that	17

the future existence and viability of almost 50% of the nation's

coal-fired power plants are at risk due to the Proposed Transport 19 Rule and other EPA regulatory actions; and 20 WHEREAS, The Proposed Transport Rule contains numerous 21 factual errors and incorrect assumptions about emission sources in 22 Ohio; and 23 WHEREAS, The EPA used incorrect information in the Proposed 24 Transport Rule to model incorrect effects from Ohio emissions 25 sources on downwind states' air quality; and 26 WHEREAS, The EPA used the results of its flawed modeling 27 procedures and inputs to propose overly stringent emission 28 limitations for the State of Ohio in the Proposed Transport Rule; 29 and 30 WHEREAS, The EPA received numerous requests to extend the 31 public comment period on the Proposed Transport Rule beyond 32 October 1, 2010, but denied those requests; and 33 WHEREAS, The EPA must provide an implementation schedule for 34 any proposed regulation that is reasonably achievable, but the 35 Proposed Transport Rule establishes unreasonable compliance 36 deadlines of 2012 and 2014, which do not provide adequate 37 opportunity to install pollution controls or implement fuel 38 switches for plants that are not currently equipped with such 39 controls or burning low sulfur fuel; and 40 WHEREAS, The EPA failed to consider the economic implications 41 of numerous plants attempting to implement fuel switches in such a 42 short time frame, including coal shortages, artificial inflation 43 of low sulfur coal and natural gas prices, legal costs in 44 breaching existing long-term coal contracts, and disastrous 45 employment effects on the Ohio coal mining industry; and 46

WHEREAS, The EPA failed to consider the economic implications 47 of pollution control equipment installation, such as construction 48

18

delays due to limited raw materials and skilled workforce when 49 completing numerous complicated retrofits, the lack of credit for 50 pollution control installations already made under the Clean Air 51 Interstate Rule, the lengthy outages necessary to complete 52 numerous complicated retrofits, the disincentive for retrofit 53 given the virtually nonexistent trading provisions in the Proposed 54 Transport Rule, and the increased cost of electricity to 55 consumers, when calculating the cost effectiveness of the emission 56 reductions required in Ohio; and 57

WHEREAS, The EPA failed to protect the reliability of the 58 electric grid by not adequately considering the impact of outages 59 necessary to accommodate fuel switches and pollution control 60 installation within the narrow time frame necessary to comply with 61 the proposed overly stringent emission limitations; and 62

WHEREAS, The EPA did not adequately consider the cost 63 effectiveness of downwind states making local emission reductions 64 within their own state borders, rather than burdening upwind 65 states with costly emission reductions; and 66

WHEREAS, The EPA fails to allow for significant interstate 67 trading under the Proposed Transport Rule, which will result in an 68 increased burden on coal-dependent states like Ohio, even where 69 facilities operating in Ohio may have adequate credits generated 70 by out-of-state facilities that could ease the economic and timing 71 burdens on coal-fired assets in Ohio; and 72

WHEREAS, Individual states should be allowed to fashion a 73 plan that meets the requirements of law in a manner that, in the 74 state's judgment, best conforms to the state's preferences and 75 regulatory policies; and 76

WHEREAS, The EPA's use of a Federal Implementation Plan in 77 the Proposed Transport Rule undermines the rights of Ohio to 78 govern the method of its own compliance and is contrary to the 79

provisions	in	the	Clean	Air A	Act k	pecause	Ohio's	Stat	te	80
Implementat	cion	n Pla:	n has	nevei	r bee	en disap	proved	; and	d	81

WHEREAS, The Proposed Transport Rule and the related EPA82actions appear to be an attempt by the EPA to unilaterally develop83and implement a new nationwide greenhouse gas regulatory scheme84entirely without the involvement or oversight by the elected85leaders of the United States Congress or the involvement of86individual states; and87

WHEREAS, The EPA's unbalanced and short-sighted actions have 88 the potential to cause severe economic harm to Ohio and the 89 country through the destruction of jobs, elimination of entire 90 industries, and large increases in energy costs across the nation; 91 now therefore be it 92

RESOLVED, That the members of the 129th General Assembly of 93 the State of Ohio urge the United States Congress, the President 94 of the United States, and the EPA to immediately suspend the 95 Proposed Transport Rule so that the EPA can correct data inputs 96 and assumptions in order to address the numerous concerns and 97 incorrect data identified by those commenting on the Proposed 98 Transport Rule, have an additional comment period on the Proposed 99 Transport Rule and all supplemental Notices to allow for a full 100 evaluation of the proposed regulations, conduct a detailed study 101 of the economic impacts of the Proposed Transport Rule and 102 alternatives that includes economic impacts on related industries 103 in Ohio such as the coal industry, and re-assess the cumulative 104 impact of all pending regulations likely to affect the electric 105 utility and coal industries to determine a consolidated approach 106 calculated to maintain the viability of economically sound assets; 107 and be it further 108

RESOLVED, That the members of the 129th General Assembly of 109 the State of Ohio urge the United States Congress, the President 110 of the United States, and the EPA to adequately protect the 111

thousands of coal-related jobs in the affected upwind states,	112
including Ohio, to avoid further harming the economies of those	113
states; and be it further	114
RESOLVED, That the Legislative Clerk of the House of	115
Representatives transmit duly authenticated copies of this	116
resolution to the President of the United States, the	117
Administrator of the EPA, the Speaker and Clerk of the United	118
States House of Representatives, the President Pro Tempore and	119
Secretary of the United States Senate, the members of the Ohio	120
Congressional delegation, and the news media of Ohio.	121

Page 5