

Joseph G. Aninao

Legislative Service Commission

### H.B. 56

126th General Assembly (As Introduced)

Reps. Raussen, Seitz, Brinkman, McGregor, D. Evans, Fessler, Aslanides, Koziura, Barrett, Reidelbach, Gilb, Buehrer, Hood, Daniels, Taylor, Martin, Gibbs, Faber, Raga, Blessing, Schneider, Uecker, Bubp, J. Stewart, Schaffer, Webster

#### **BILL SUMMARY**

Prohibits the use by law enforcement agencies of traffic law photomonitoring devices to detect traffic law violations except when a law enforcement officer is present at the location of such a device and issues tickets, citations, or summonses at the general time and general location of the violations.

### **CONTENT AND OPERATION**

# <u>Prohibition on the use of traffic law photo-monitoring devices by law enforcement agencies</u>

The bill generally prohibits a municipal, county, or township law enforcement agency, or the State Highway Patrol, from using a traffic law photomonitoring device to determine compliance with, or to detect a violation of, a municipal ordinance or any state law that governs or regulates the operation of motor vehicles. The bill provides that this prohibition does not apply when a law enforcement officer is present at the location of a traffic law photo-monitoring device and issues tickets, citations, or summonses at the general time and general location of the traffic law violations. (R.C. 4511.092(B).)

## **Definitions**

The bill defines a "traffic law photo-monitoring device" as an electronic system consisting of a photographic, video, or electronic camera and a means of sensing the presence of a motor vehicle that automatically produces photographs, videotape, or digital images of the vehicle, its operator, or its license plate (R.C. 4511.092(A)(5)).

## **COMMENT**

The bill contains a definition of "school zone" by cross-reference, but the term is not used in the bill (R.C. 4511.092(A)(4)).

## **HISTORY**

**ACTION** DATE JOURNAL ENTRY

Introduced 02-10-05 202 p.

h0056-i-126.doc/kl