



Jill Rowland

Bill Analysis
Legislative Service Commission

Sub. H.B. 71

127th General Assembly
(As Reported by H. Agriculture & Natural Resources)

**Reps. White, J. McGregor, Setzer, Brown, J. Hagan, Seitz, Wagoner,
Wolpert, Aslanides, Distel**

BILL SUMMARY

- Eliminates existing procedures and requirements governing the seizure, impoundment, and disposition of an allegedly abused or neglected companion animal, and replaces them with new procedures and requirements.
- Makes changes to certain existing prohibitions concerning dogfighting.
- Establishes procedures and requirements governing the seizure, impoundment, and disposition of a rooster that allegedly is involved in cockfighting or a dog that allegedly is involved in dogfighting.
- Clarifies that existing authority that is conferred on a person to kill an attacking dog does not include the authority to kill a police dog.

CONTENT AND OPERATION

Procedures for seizure and impoundment of companion animals

Current law

Current law establishes procedures for the impoundment of a companion animal¹ that allegedly has been abused or neglected in violation of certain provisions regarding companion animals and for the care of the impounded companion animal during the pendency of the charges against the defendant who allegedly violated those provisions (sec. 959.132, repealed by the bill). As part of the procedures, the owner, custodian, or caretaker of the impounded companion

¹ "Companion animal" means any animal that is kept inside a residential dwelling and any dog or cat regardless of where it is kept (sec. 959.131(A)(1), not in the bill).

animal may request a probable cause hearing regarding his guilt (sec. 959.132(D), repealed by the bill).

If the court finds at the conclusion of the hearing that probable cause does not exist for finding that the defendant committed a violation of a prohibition governing companion animals and that he otherwise has a right to possess the companion animal, the court must order the animal returned to the defendant. If the court finds that probable cause exists for finding the defendant guilty of a violation, it must either allow the impounding agency to retain custody of the companion animal pending resolution of the underlying charges or order it to be returned to the defendant under conditions and restrictions designed to ensure that the companion animal receives humane and adequate care and treatment. (Sec. 959.132(D), repealed by the bill.)

The impounding agency² may file a motion requesting the court to require the defendant to post a deposit to cover the costs of caring for impounded companion animals if the reasonably necessary projected costs of the care that will be provided prior to the final resolution of the charges are estimated to be in excess of \$1,500. If a probable cause hearing results in a finding that probable cause exists for finding that the defendant committed a violation of a prohibition governing companion animals, the court must hold a costs of care hearing regarding the motion. If the court finds that the reasonably necessary projected costs of caring for the companion animals exceed \$1,500 during the pendency of the charges, the court may grant the impounding agency's motion, thus requiring the defendant to post the deposit with the clerk of court, or alternatively the court may order other specified actions. If the defendant fails to post the deposit as required or otherwise fails to comply with the court's order, the court may order the defendant to forfeit the right of possession and ownership in one or more of the companion animals to the impounding agency. (Sec. 959.132(E), repealed by the bill.)

If the defendant subsequently is found guilty of abusing or neglecting a companion animal and he posted a deposit to cover the costs of care for the animal, the court must determine the amount of reasonably necessary costs that the impounding agency incurred in caring for the companion animal during the pendency of the charges. The court must order the clerk of court to pay that amount of the deposit to the impounding agency and to dispose of any excess amount as specified in the statute. (Sec. 959.132(F), repealed by the bill.)

² "Impounding agency" means the county humane society, animal shelter, or law enforcement agency that either has impounded a companion animal or has made regular visits to the place where a companion animal is kept to determine whether it is provided with necessities (sec. 959.132(A)(3), repealed by the bill).

If the defendant is found not guilty of abusing or neglecting a companion animal, the court must order the clerk of court to return the entire amount of the deposit to the defendant. In addition, either the companion animal must be returned to the defendant or, if that is not possible, the court must order the impounding agency to pay the defendant the reasonable market value of the animal at the time of impoundment plus interest. (Sec. 959.132(F), repealed by the bill.)

An impounding agency that impounds a companion animal must pay a person who provides veterinary care to the companion animal during the impoundment for the cost of the veterinary care. That requirement applies regardless of whether the impounding agency is reimbursed for the payment. (Sec. 959.132(G), repealed by the bill.)

The bill

The bill eliminates and replaces the procedures that are established in current law for the seizure, impoundment, and disposition of an allegedly abused or neglected companion animal. Under the bill, an officer may seize and cause to be impounded at an impounding agency a companion animal that the officer has reasonable cause to believe is the subject of an offense (sec. 959.132(B)). The bill defines "officer" as any law enforcement officer, agent of a county humane society, or other person appointed to act as an animal control officer for a municipal corporation or township in accordance with state law, an ordinance, or a resolution (sec. 959.132(A)(4)). In addition, the bill defines "impounding agency" as a county humane society, an animal shelter, or a law enforcement agency that has impounded a companion animal in accordance with the bill (sec. 959.132(A)(2)). Under the bill, "companion animal" is defined as any animal that is kept inside a residential dwelling and any dog or cat regardless of where it is kept (sec. 959.132(A)(1), by reference to sec. 959.131(A)(1), not in the bill). The bill defines "offense" as a violation of the prohibition against the abuse or neglect of a companion animal or an attempt to violate that prohibition (sec. 959.132(A)(3)).

Prohibition against impoundment at county dog pound unless authorized by contract. The bill prohibits an officer or impounding agency from impounding a companion animal that is the subject of an offense in a shelter owned, operated, or controlled by a board of county commissioners under the Dogs Law unless the board, by resolution, authorizes the impoundment of such a companion animal in a shelter owned, operated, or controlled by that board and has executed, in the case when the officer is other than a dog warden or assistant dog warden, a contract specifying the terms and conditions of the impoundment (sec. 959.132(B)).

Notice of seizure and impoundment. Under the bill, the officer must give written notice of the seizure and impoundment by posting the notice on the door of the residence or in another conspicuous place on the premises at which a companion animal was seized or by giving it in person to the owner, keeper, or harbinger of the companion animal. The notice must include information concerning the opportunity to post a bond or cash deposit in accordance with the bill (see below) not later than ten days after the notice is provided in order to prevent the disposition of the companion animal by the impounding agency and the opportunity to request a probable cause hearing under the bill (see below) not later than ten days after the notice is provided. (Sec. 959.132(C).)

Humane destruction of companion animal. The bill specifies that a companion animal that is seized under the bill may be humanely destroyed immediately or at any time during impoundment if a licensed veterinarian determines it to be necessary because the companion animal is suffering (sec. 959.132(D)).

Posting of bond or cash deposit. The bill authorizes the owner of a companion animal to post, not later than ten days after the notice of seizure and impoundment is provided, a bond or cash deposit with the court in an amount that the court, in consultation with the impounding agency, determines is sufficient to provide for the companion animal's care and keeping for not less than 30 days beginning on the date on which the companion animal was impounded. The owner may renew a bond or cash deposit by posting, not later than ten days following the expiration of the period for which a previous bond or cash deposit was posted, a new bond or cash deposit in an amount that the court, in consultation with the impounding agency, determines is sufficient to provide for the companion animal's care and keeping for not less than 30 days beginning on the date on which the previous period expired. If no bond or cash deposit is posted or if a bond or cash deposit expires and is not renewed, the impounding agency may determine the disposition of the companion animal unless the court issues an order that specifies otherwise (sec. 959.132(E)).

Probable cause hearing. Under the bill, the owner of a companion animal that has been seized and impounded pursuant to the bill may file, not later than ten days after the notice of seizure and impoundment is provided, a motion requesting a hearing with the clerk of the court in which charges are pending that were filed under the statute prohibiting the abuse or neglect of companion animals or under the statute prohibiting an attempt to commit such a violation and that involve the impounded companion animal. Upon receipt of such a request, the court must hold a hearing within ten days or at the next available court date to determine whether probable cause exists to believe that a violation of the applicable prohibition occurred. (Sec. 959.132(F)(1).)

The bill specifies that if the court determines that probable cause does not exist, the court immediately must order the impounding agency to return the companion animal to its owner if possible and to return the entire amount of any bond or cash deposit posted. If the companion animal cannot be returned, the court must order the impounding agency to pay the owner an amount determined by the court to be equal to the reasonable market value of the companion animal at the time that it was impounded plus statutory interest from the date of the impoundment. The requirements regarding the return of a bond or cash deposit and the payment of the reasonable market value of the companion animal do not apply in the case of a dog that was not registered at the time it was seized and impounded. (Sec. 959.132(F)(2).)

Under the bill, if the court determines that probable cause exists to believe that a violation occurred, the case must continue and, unless the companion animal's owner has posted a current bond or cash deposit, the impounding agency may arrange for the disposition of the companion animal (sec. 959.132(F)(3)).

Penalties. The bill specifies that if a person is convicted of abusing or neglecting a companion animal or of attempting to do so, the court may impose the following additional penalties against the person: (1) a requirement that the person pay for the costs incurred by the impounding agency in caring for a companion animal involved in the applicable offense, provided that the costs were incurred during the companion animal's impoundment and have not been covered by a bond or cash deposit, and (2) an order permanently terminating the person's right to possession, title, custody, or care of the companion animal that was involved in the offense. If the court issues such an order, the court must order the disposition of the companion animal. (Sec. 959.132(G).)

Requirements upon "not guilty" finding. If a person is found not guilty of committing an offense, the bill requires the court immediately to order the impounding agency to return the companion animal to its owner if possible and to return the entire amount of any bond or cash deposit posted. If the companion animal cannot be returned, the court must order the impounding agency to pay the owner an amount determined by the court to be equal to the reasonable market value of the companion animal at the time that it was impounded plus statutory interest from the date of the impoundment. The requirements regarding the return of a bond or cash deposit and the payment of the reasonable market value of the companion animal do not apply in the case of a dog that was not registered at the time it was seized and impounded. (Sec. 959.132(H).)

Dogfighting

Current law prohibits a person from committing the offense of dogfighting, which includes promoting, engaging in, or being employed at dogfighting; selling,

purchasing, possessing, or training a dog for dogfighting; using, training, or possessing a dog for seizing, detaining, or mistreating a domestic animal; or witnessing a dogfight if it is presented as a public spectacle. In addition, under current law, the offense of dogfighting also includes receiving money for the admission of another person to a place kept for dogfighting. The bill changes that provision to specify that the offense includes receiving money or anything else of value for the admission of another person to a dogfighting event or a place kept for dogfighting. Current law also specifies that the offense of dogfighting includes purchasing a ticket of admission or being present at a dogfight. The bill instead specifies that the offense includes paying money or giving anything else of value in exchange for admission to a dogfight. (Sec. 959.16(A).)

Current law requires any peace officer to confiscate any dogs that have been, are, or are intended to be used in dogfighting and any equipment or devices used in training such dogs or as part of dogfights. The bill replaces the requirement that a peace officer confiscate such dogs with a requirement that the seizure and impoundment of such dogs be conducted in accordance with procedures established by the bill (see below). (Sec. 959.16(C).)

Procedures for seizure and impoundment of fighting animals

The bill authorizes a peace officer to seize and cause to be impounded with an impounding entity a fighting animal that the peace officer has reasonable cause to believe is involved in a violation (sec. 959.161(B)). The bill defines "peace officer" to include certain law enforcement personnel who are specified under the Criminal Code (sec. 959.161(A)(3)). "Impounding entity" is defined as the entity that has possession of an impounded fighting animal during its impoundment (sec. 959.161(A)(2)). The bill defines "fighting animal" as either of the following: (1) a rooster that a peace officer has reasonable cause to believe has been, is, or is intended to be used in cockfighting, or (2) a dog that a peace officer has reasonable cause to believe has been, is, or is intended to be used in dogfighting (sec. 959.161(A)(1)). "Violation" is defined as a violation of the prohibition against cockfighting, a violation of the prohibition against dogfighting, or an attempt to violate either of those prohibitions (sec. 959.161(A)(4)).

Humane destruction of fighting animal

The bill authorizes a fighting animal that is seized under the bill to be humanely destroyed under either of the following circumstances: (1) during its seizure if it is necessary because the fighting animal is suffering, or (2) at any time during its impoundment if a licensed veterinarian determines it to be necessary because the fighting animal is suffering (sec. 959.161(C)).

Procedures for seizure and impoundment of companion animals that apply to fighting animals

The bill specifies that certain procedures, requirements, and other provisions that are established by the bill regarding the seizure and impoundment of companion animals also apply to the seizure, impoundment, and disposition of fighting animals. Specifically, the provisions described under the following subheadings that are listed under the heading "**Procedures for seizure and impoundment of companion animal--The bill**," above, apply: "**Notice of seizure and impoundment**," "**Posting of bond or cash deposit**," "**Probable cause hearing**," "**Penalties**," and "**Requirements upon "not guilty" finding**." The bill states that for purposes of that application, references in those provisions to "companion animal," "impounding agency," "officer," and "offense" are deemed to be replaced, respectively, with references to "fighting animal," "impounding entity," "peace officer," and "violation." Likewise, references in those provisions to the prohibition against the abuse or neglect of a companion animal are deemed to be replaced with references to the prohibition against cockfighting or the prohibition against dogfighting, as applicable. (Sec. 959.161(D).)

Authority to kill attacking dogs inapplicable to police dogs

Current law generally specifies that a dog that is chasing or approaching in a menacing fashion or apparent attitude of attack, that attempts to bite or otherwise endanger, or that kills or injures a person or dog that chases, injures, or kills livestock, poultry, other domestic animal, or other animal, that is the property of another person, except a cat or another dog, can be killed at the time of that chasing, approaching, attempt, killing, or injury. The bill clarifies that the authority to kill an attacking dog does not include the authority to kill a police dog. (Sec. 955.28(A).)

HISTORY

ACTION	DATE
Introduced	02-27-07
Reported, H. Agriculture & Natural Resources	06-20-07

H0071-Rh-127.doc/jc

