



# Ohio Legislative Service Commission

## Resolution Analysis

David M. Gold

### Sub. H.J.R. 9

128th General Assembly

(As Reported by H. Judiciary - Corrected Version)

**Reps.** Huffman and Yates, Murray, Blessing, Skindell, Pillich, Hagan, Amstutz, Fende, Ujvagi, Domenick

---

## RESOLUTION SUMMARY

- Raises the maximum age for assuming judicial office pursuant to election or appointment from 70 to 75.
- Repeals constitutional provisions that authorize the General Assembly to establish courts of conciliation and Supreme Court commissions.

---

## CONTENT AND OPERATION

### Age limit for election or appointment to judicial office

The Ohio Constitution currently provides that no person may be elected or appointed to any judicial office if on or before the day when the person is to assume the office and enter upon the discharge of its duties the person will be 70 years of age or older. However, the Chief Justice or Acting Chief Justice may assign a retired judge who is beyond the age limit to active duty, with compensation paid on a *per diem* basis. The joint resolution raises the age limit to 75. (Ohio Const., art. IV, § 6(C).)

### Repeal of constitutional provisions

The Ohio Constitution authorizes the General Assembly to establish courts of conciliation and prescribe their powers and duties, not including the power to render a final judgment in any case except upon agreement of the parties (Ohio Const., art. IV, § 19). The Constitution also authorizes the General Assembly, at the request of the Supreme Court and with the concurrence of two-thirds of the members of each house, to appoint not more than once every ten years a commission to help the Supreme Court dispose of cases on its docket (Ohio Const., art. IV, § 22). The joint resolution repeals these provisions of the Constitution.

Section 19 is part of the Constitution as it was adopted in 1851 and was meant to help ease judicial backlogs by providing a means of resolving disputes without going through the normal judicial process. The General Assembly has created conciliation procedures for marital controversies (R.C. 3117.01 to 3117.08) and other forms of alternative dispute resolution for other types of cases (e.g., arbitration of contractual disputes and medical claims, R.C. 2711.01 to 2711.24), but it has never established courts of conciliation.<sup>1</sup>

Section 20 was adopted in 1875 in an effort to clear up the continuing backlog of Supreme Court cases. The section required the Governor to appoint a temporary, five-member commission to hear cases transferred to the commission by the Supreme Court, and it empowered the General Assembly to create similar temporary commissions in the future. The General Assembly has not established a commission under § 20 since 1883.<sup>2</sup>

### **Ballot date and effective date**

The joint resolution provides for its appearance on the ballot for the general election scheduled for November 2, 2010.

The joint resolution provides that if it is approved by the voters, it will take effect on January 1, 2011.

---

## **HISTORY**

<b>ACTION</b>	<b>DATE</b>
Introduced	11-17-09
Reported, H. Judiciary	05-13-10

hjr09-rh-128.docx/kl

---

<sup>1</sup> Steven H. Steinglass and Gino J. Scarselli, *The Ohio State Constitution: A Reference Guide* (Westport, Conn.: Praeger, 2004), 193.

<sup>2</sup> *Ibid.*, 193-94.

