



Ohio Legislative Service Commission

Jean J. Botomogno

Fiscal Note & Local Impact Statement

Bill: H.J.R. 14 of the 128th G.A.

Date: January 21, 2010

Status: As Introduced

Sponsor: Reps. Celeste and Bacon

Local Impact Statement Procedure Required: No — Not required for joint resolutions

Contents: Proposing to amend Section 6 of Article XV of the Constitution of the State of Ohio to change the location of the authorized casino in Columbus to a designated site in Franklin County

State Fiscal Highlights

STATE FUND	FY 2010	FY 2011	FUTURE YEARS
Secretary of State – Statewide Ballot Advertising Fund (Fund 5FH0)			
Revenues	- 0 -	- 0 -	- 0 -
Expenditures	Increase for election costs, dependent on length of ballot language	- 0 -	- 0 -

Note: The state fiscal year is July 1 through June 30. For example, FY 2010 is July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010.

- The resolution proposes to submit a constitutional amendment, which would change the location of the authorized casino in Columbus to a designated site in Franklin County, for state voters' approval on the May 4, 2010 ballot.
- The ballot advertising costs for this ballot measure would depend upon the length of the ballot language, but could be in the tens of thousands of dollars. The costs would be paid from the Statewide Ballot Advertising Fund (Fund 5FH0), a fund in the Secretary of State's budget.

Local Fiscal Highlights

- No direct fiscal effect on political subdivisions, but potential indirect effects are likely for the Columbus and the South-Western school districts in Franklin County.

Detailed Fiscal Analysis

The resolution proposes to include a constitutional amendment on the ballot of the statewide special election on May 4, 2010. The constitutional amendment proposes to change Section 6 of Article XV of the Constitution of the State of Ohio to change the location of the authorized casino in Columbus to another location in Franklin County. The resolution would have a direct fiscal impact on the state, but no direct effect on local governments.

State fiscal effect

If both houses of the General Assembly concur in the resolution, it would increase costs for the Secretary of State (SOS). The SOS would incur costs for ballot advertising under Article XVI, Section 1 of the Ohio Constitution, which requires that notice of ballot questions be published once a week for three consecutive weeks in a newspaper of general circulation in each Ohio county. The cost would depend on the length of the amendment proposed by this resolution. According to a SOS official, it spent \$567,095 in ballot advertising costs for the three statewide issues that appeared on the November 2009 ballot. Statewide advertising costs for H.J.R. 14, because it calls for just one ballot issue, would likely be considerably less. Any such advertising cost will be paid out of the Statewide Ballot Advertising Fund (Fund 5FH0). The revenue source for this fund is GRF transfers from the Controlling Board.

Potential local fiscal effect

If the voters approve the constitutional amendment, it would change the distribution of tax revenues among local governments and school districts. According to the Franklin County Auditor, the proposed location of the casino is in Franklin Township and the South-Western school district. The construction of a casino on the proposed location would reduce property valuation in the Columbus school district, and increase that of the South-Western school district. Thus, property tax receipts would decrease in the Columbus school district and would rise in the South-Western school district. Changes in property values determine how much state aid a school district receives. Therefore, approval of the amendment potentially modifies the amount of state aid each of the districts would receive.