



Ohio Legislative Service Commission

Ruhaiza Ridzwan

Fiscal Note & Local Impact Statement

Bill: [H.B. 568 of the 130th G.A.](#)

Date: December 1, 2014

Status: As Introduced

Sponsor: Rep. McGregor

Local Impact Statement Procedure Required: No

Contents: To require the Public Utilities Commission to set the maximum fees that a manufactured home park operator, condominium unit owners association, and landlord may charge residents when a submeter is used to measure certain public utility services to the premises

State Fiscal Highlights

- The bill may increase the Public Utilities Commission's administrative costs to adopt rules that specify the maximum administrative fee that a park operator, unit owners association, or landlord may charge residents for certain public utility services provided to the resident through a submeter. If there is any increase in such costs, it is likely to be minimal, and would likely be paid from the Public Utilities Fund, Fund 5F60.

Local Fiscal Highlights

- No direct fiscal effect on political subdivisions.

Detailed Fiscal Analysis

The bill allows a manufactured home park operator, condominium unit owners association, or landlord to install a submeter to measure public utility service provided to the premises of a resident, unit owner, or tenant. The bill requires the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO) to adopt rules that specify the maximum administrative fee that a park operator, unit owners association, or landlord may charge a resident for public utility service provided to the premises of the resident when the resident is not a customer of the public utility providing the service. The bill also prohibits the park operator, unit owners association, or landlord from charging a resident more than the actual charge by the public utility for providing the utility service to that premises, plus an administrative fee not exceeding the amount allowed by PUCO.

Fiscal effect

The bill may minimally increase PUCO's administrative costs to adopt rules described above. Any increase in such costs would likely be paid from the Public Utilities Fund, Fund 5F60, out of an existing appropriation; the bill does not include an appropriation. However, it is likely that any increase would be minimal. The bill would have no direct fiscal effect on political subdivisions.